



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,661	09/25/2003	Shiro Yonezawa	Q77221	5205	
23373	7590 02/16/200	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			DOLINAR, A	DOLINAR, ANDREW M	
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE	, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3747		

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				l			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		10/669,661	YONEZAWA ET	AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew M. Dolinar	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No	ovember 2004.					
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applica (9)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	r election requirement. r.	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	I Stage			
Attachme	• •	_					
2) 🔲 Noti 3) 🔲 Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mingo et al (US 6,435,158 B1) in view of Ott et al (US 5,622,153). Mingo et al discloses an engine control system with start control as claimed except for the cam sensor arrangement. Figure 8 shows ignition stop control at less than idle speed when the starter is switched off. Ott et al teaches that it is known to provide an engine control system with a crank angle sensor 10 and a cam sensor 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the engine control system of Mingo et al with a crank angle sensor and cam sensor arrangement, as taught by Ott et al, in order to provide a suitable apparatus to determine engine cylinder stroke position.

Claim 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mingo et al (US 6,435,158 B1) in view of Ott et al (US 5,622,153) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Kopera (US 4,106,460). Kopera teaches that it is known to control engine ignition so that energization is terminated after a predetermined time at cranking speeds (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 10/669,661

Art Unit: 3747

made to further provide the engine control system of Mingo et al with control for terminating ignition after a predetermined time, as taught by Kopera, in order to prevent ignition coil overheating.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Given their broadest reasonable interpretation pursuant to MPEP § 2111 and 2111.01, claims 1-7 lack sufficient detail to distinguish over the prior art as applied. The control of Mingo et al is based on crank angle and number of revolutions to the extent specified in claim 1 as set forth at column 5, lines 59-63.

Regarding the combination with Ott et al, an express suggestion to substitute one equivalent component or process for another is not necessary to render such substitution obvious. See *In re Fout*, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982). Since both Mingo et al Ott et al involve detection of reverse running, there is a basis for making the substitution.

Regarding claims 2-6, the control system of Mingo et al has control based on the number of revolutions to the extent specified as represented by steps 904 and/or 818 in Figure 8.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/669,661

Art Unit: 3747

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Andrew M. Dolinar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4840. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thu. 7:45 - 6:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

AMD